BLANK PAGE(S)
NOT DIGITIZED

MR. JUSTICE

HOW IS YOUR

POCKET?

On receipt of $3.00 we will forward to your address one of our Justices' Dockets, size of leaf 10½ by 16 inches, containing 200 pages, securely bound in leather and cloth, properly ruled, printed and indexed.

These Dockets have been prepared with much care, and conform in all particulars to the requirements of the Code.

A. Carlisle & Company

MANUFACTURING STATIONERS

212 Battery Street San Francisco, Cal.

EXTRACT FROM

CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

CHAPTER XI.

DOCKETS OF JUSTICES.

§ 911. Docket, what to contain.
§ 912. Entries therein primary evidence of the facts.
§ 913. An index to the docket must be kept.
§ 914. Dockets must be delivered by justice to his successor, or to county clerk.

§ **911.** Every justice must keep a book, denominated a "docket," in which he must enter:

1. The title of every action or proceeding;
2. The object of the action or proceeding; and if a sum of money be claimed, the amount thereof;
3. The date of the summons, and the time of its return; and if an order to arrest the defendant be made, or a writ of attachment be issued, a statement of the fact;
4. The time when the parties, or either of them, appear, or their non-appearance, if default be made; a minute of the pleadings and motions; if in writing referring to them; if not in writing, a concise statement of the material part of the pleadings;
5. Every adjournment, stating on whose application and to what time;
6. The demand for a trial by jury, when the same is made, and by whom made, the order for the jury, and the time appointed for the return of the jury and for the trial;
7. The names of the jurors who appear and are sworn, and the names of all witnesses sworn, and at whose request;
8. The verdict of the jury, and when received; if the jury disagree and are discharged, the fact of such disagreement and discharge;
9. The judgment of the court, specifying the costs included, and the time when rendered;
10. The issuing of the execution, when issued and to whom; the renewals thereof, if any, and when made, and a statement of any money paid to the justice, when and by whom;
11. The receipt of a notice of appeal, if any be given, and of the appeal bond, if any be filed. [In effect July 1st, 1874.]

§ **912.** The several particulars of the last section specified must be entered under the title of the action to which they relate, and (unless otherwise in this title provided) at the time when they occur. Such entries in a justice's docket, or a transcript thereof, certified by the justice, or his successor in office, are *prima facie* evidence of the facts so stated. [In effect March 26th, 1880.]

§ **913.** A justice must keep an alphabetical index to his docket, in which must be entered the names of the parties to each judgment, with a reference to the page of entry. The names of the plaintiffs must be entered in the index, in the alphabetical order of the first letter of the family name.

§ **914.** Every justice of the peace, upon the expiration of his term of office, must deposit with his successor his official dockets and all papers filed in his office, as well his own as those of his predecessors, or any other which may be in his custody to be kept as public records.

Should you be the happy possessor of such a book as is described above, then paste this on the inside of front cover; it may at times remind you of some point in which you are in doubt; and when in need of a similar record, send to us for same.

A. CARLISLE & COMPANY { Manufacturing Stationers

212 Battery Street, San Francisco

25367

Complaint Criminal

In the Justice Court of 5 Township In the County of Inyo State of California

The people of the State of California
Plaintiff

Vs

Mrs I. Gall. Defendant

Personally appeared before me this fourth day of July 1888 J. L. Eddy of 5th Township in the County of Inyo who first being duly sworn complains & says that one Mrs I. Gall of said Township & County on the fourth day of July A D. 1888 at said Township In the County of Inyo. have destroyed property to the amount of $20.00 twenty dollars. have threatened my life and property. also have broken in doors and stole property to the amt I cant ascertain Immediately. the said J. L. Eddy then & there in the possesion of same the said Mrs I. Gall being found feloniously did steal take and carry away and threaten life and property All of which is contrary to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the people of the State of California

Said complainant therefore prays that a warrant may be issued for the arrest of the said Mrs I. Gall. and that she may be dealt with according to law

J. L. Eddy

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of July A D. 1888

Jno. F. Hawley

Justice of the Peace in and for said County

Justice Fees.

Complaint, Summons, Writ of Attachment	150
Affidavit of Attachment	50
1/2 Oath	25
Filing 5 papers @ 25	125
Docket	50
Entering Judgement	50
Issuing Execution	50
	$5,00

	$
Summons	1.00
Milage	.50
Attachment	2.00
Milage	.50
	4.00
Execution	2.00
Milage	.50
	$6.50

Constables Fees.

11 25
11 50
22 75

Officers off. 2. 1.00
Undertaking " 2. 1.00
Unit " 2. 1.00
Summons — 2 1.00
Complaint 2. 1.00
4 copies Undertaking 1.00
2 " Undy oath 50
Filing 12 leaves 300
9.50

Filing 5 papers 25 cts = 1.25

Filing

State of California }
County of Inyo }

James Wood, being duly sworn deposes and says that he is acquainted with the circumstances of the present condition of one Pat. O'Neil and is fully convinced that the said Pat. O'Neil is so far disordered in his mind as to endanger health, person and property, and is satisfied that his, the said Pat O'Neils safety and the safety of others, justifies the arrest and detention of him

In the Justices Court of the 5th township

State of California } ss.
County of Inyo }

Charles Anthony
vs.
Frank Silva

The above named as plaintiff commences this action in the justices court of the 5th township, State of California, against the said Frank Silva a resident of said Inyo County as defendant, and for cause of action complains and alleges as follows, to wit.

That heretofore, to wit on the day of April A.D. 1891, the defendant was indebted to him for goods wares and merchandise in the sum of. two hundred and ninety nine ($299 00/100) 00/100 dollars as shown by the bill hereto attached.

And the said plaintiff avers that the said sum of money in said bill mentioned is now past due and payable, from the said defendant to said plaintiff and that the said defendant although the same has been duly presented and payment thereof demended, unjustly neglects and refuses to pay the

Copy of Certificate of Service of Richard F. Linn.

$\frac{1}{5}\frac{2}{6}\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{6}$

Certificate of Service.

To all whom it may Concern:

This is to Certify, That, Richard F. Linn, who was enrolled on the 16th day of September, one thousand eight hundred and sixty two, to serve three years, was Discharged on the 8th day of September, 1865 by Genl. Orders #51 Dept. of the Pacific, at Camp Disappointment, Wash. Territory, while holding the grade of Private in Co. H, 1st Regiment of Washington Territory Volunteers.

This Certificate is given upon evidence that the original discharge has been lost or destroyed, and in all cases upon the condition imposed by the Act of Congress approved March 3, 1873, that it "shall not be accepted as a voucher for the payment of any claim against the United States for pay, bounty, or other allowance, or as evidence in any other case."

Given at the War Department, Washington D. C. this 30th day of July A.D. 1891

Justice fees.

Complaint, Summons, Writ of Attachment	150
Affidavit of Attachment	50
1/2 Oath	25
Filing 4 papers @ 25	~~1.00~~ 1.25
Docket	50
Entering Judgement	50
Issuing Execution	50
	$ 5,00

	$
Summons	1.00
Milage	.50
Attachment	2.00
Milage	.50
	4.00
Execution	2.00
Milage	.50
	$ 6.50

Constables fees.

11 25
11 50
22 75

Affidavit of off. 2. 1.00
Undertaking " 2. 1.00
Writ " 2. 1.00
Summons 2 1.00
Complaint 2 1.00
4 copies Undertaking 1.00
2 " Writ of att. .50
Filing 12 papers 3.00
9.50

Ignacio Machado y Azevedo Deceased page 14
Anthony vs Russel page 16
Alexander vs. Silva " 57
Anthony vs Decker " 59
Anthony vs Richards " 65
Alexander vs Wallace " 67
Alexander " Olivas " 69
Alexander vs Ellis. " 71
Beshaw & Beandry vs Gregory. Page 9
George Burkhart Deceased " 21
Anthony vs. Woodin heir " 55
Cornubert vs. Harman. Page 4
W & Chofs Deceased " 15
Dodge vs Gregory Page 10.

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P R S T U V W Y Z

Eddy vs Conners Page 22
Edmunds Thos " 61

Fitzgerald vs Slaffle 62

Hilario vs Burnell Page. 6 & 7.
Luger vs Collins page 8

E F G H I J K L M N O P R S T U V W Y Z

I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Malgras vs Harman Page 2
Macedo vs Swartz " 13
M. Nally & Mitchell vs Phely " 12
J. W. Murphy vs Francois Sorbe & Ambros Sorbe " 42

Mc Donald Mat. vs. Philip Mitchel 68.
Mc Donald Mat. " P. Carol 70

The People of the State vs See Lee page 17
The People of the State vs Ching Hen. Jake. " 18
People of the State of California vs John Jones. W. E. Rottrick 35
People of the State of California vs Ira Pace 36
People of the State vs Antonio Ilcani 40
People of the State of California vs G. R. Garcia 44
People of the State of California vs. Murray,
People of the State of California vs. P. O'Neil. 58

M N O P R S T U V W Y Z

Ritchie vs. Carroll — Page 1.
Russell vs. Imp. Ins. Building & mining Co — " 19

Smith vs. Hubbard — page 5
Supenizka vs. McKennon — page 11
Seltz vs. Wible — page 12
Sanderson vs. Decker — " 24-25
Sanderson vs. Comers — " 26
Sanderson vs. Patrick Brophy — " 33
Sanderson vs. John Marshall — " 43

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Waterman & O'Brien vs Mrs C. F. Smart Page 23

V
W
Y
Z

No. 1

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

William Ritchie, Plaintiff

vs

J A Carroll, Defendant

Action Settlement Between Partners

Before S P Reid

Demand $ 120.00

A J Gould, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 10th 1881	Action Commenced
" " "	Complaint filed and Summons issued
Jan 10th 1881	Summons Returned Served
Jan 11th 1881	Trial day Court opened at 11 o'clock A M.
" " "	a Trial by Jury Waived by Respective parties in open Court whereupon Witnesses on part of Plaintiff and Defendant. were duly Sworn and examined and Documentary introduced by Respective parties and the evidence being Closed the Case was Submitted to the Court for Consideration and Decision and after due deliberation. the Court files its findings and decisions in writing and orders that Judgment. be entered herein in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant Wherefore by reason of the Law and the findings aforesaid it is ordered. adjudged and decreed that William Ritchie the Plaintiff. do have and recover of and from J A Carroll the Defendant the sum of one hundred and twenty Dollars. ($120) United States Gold Coin together with Plaintiff Costs and and Disbursement incurred in this action amounting to the sum of Eleven dollars and thirty Cent ($11.30)
Jan 11th 1881	Execution issued
Jan 12th 1881	Execution satisfied

Constables Fees $5.30
Justices Fees .60
Execution .50
Filing .25
$12.05

R Stein. & R M'Crea Witnesses for Plaintiff
O Van Kleek. Witness for Defs

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Sixth Township

No. 2

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Cesar Malgaas, Plaintiff
v.s
Ira D Harmon, Defendant

Action Before S P Reid for the direct payment of Money
Demand $ 299 00

Thomas Henning, Attorney for Plaintiff
W T Grant, Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 17th 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed and Summons
" " "	issued. Summons Served
Jan 19th 1881	Trial day Court opened 2 O'clock P M. Respective
	parties in Court. Case dismissed on application of
	defendant Counsel as being brought in name of
	wrong Plaintiff. Plaintiff to pay Costs of Suit
	amounting to the Sum of Nine dollars and twenty five cents
Jan 19th 1881	($9 25) Costs paid
	Constables Fees $5 00
	Justices Fees 4 50
	9 50
	1 00

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Saline Township

No. 9

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Manuel Macedo
Plaintiff
v.s
Manuel Swartz & Joseph Swartz
Defendants

Action Before S P Reid for the direct payment of money
Demand $ 215.00

A G Gonler
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan 24	1881	Action Commenced Complaint filed Summons issued
" "	"	Affidavit sworn to Undertaking approved
" "	"	Writ of Attachment issued
Feb 14	1881	Summons Returned served
March 26	1881	Trial day the defendants failed to answer and
"	"	the Court having Examined Plaintiff under oath enters
"	"	Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and against the
"	"	Defendants for the sum of two hundred and fifteen dollars
"	"	together with Plaintiff Costs and Disbursements incurred
"	"	in said action amounting to the sum of Seventy
		dollars and fifty cents
April 4th	1881	Execution issued
		Execution returned satisfied in full
		Constables Fees $ 63.50
		Justices Fees 6.50
		Execution and filing 50
		70.50

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Sixth Township

No. 4

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Joseph Coombert, Plaintiff

vs.

M E Harman, Defendant

Action Before S P Reid for direct payment of Money

Demand $299.00

Reddy & Conklin & J Hennis, Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 29th 1881	Complaint filed Summons issued
" " " "	Summons returned served
Feb 3rd 1881	Answer to Complaint filed
" " "	Affidavit for Change of Venue Court orders Change
" " "	of Venue to the Justices Court of Third Township
Feb 3 1881	County and State aforesaid Costs paid
	Constables Fees $.90
	Justices Fees 2.00
	$2.90

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Sixth Township

No. 5

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Arthur Smith, Plaintiff

v.s

B S Hubbard & Ben Stapp, Defendant

Action Before S P Reid on Promissory Note

Demand $216 00

A J Gowla, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 31 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed
Feb 1st 1881	Affidavit sworn to Undertaking approved.
" " "	Writ of Attachment issued
Feb 2nd 1881	Summons and Writ of attachment returned served
Feb 7th 1881	Trial day Court opens at 10 O'clock A. M.
" " "	one of the defendants in Court Witness being examined
" " "	on part of Plaintiff and the evidence being closed the Court after due deliberation give Judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant B S Hubbard for the sum of Two hundred and Sixteen ($216) Dollars U. S. Gold Coin together with the said Plaintiffs Costs and Disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of twelve dollars and twenty five cents ($12 25/100)
Jan 3rd 1881	Execution issued
Jan 9th 1881	Execution Returned Satisfied

Constables Fees	$4 75
Justices Fees	6.50
Execution & filing	50
Levy	2 00
	$13.75

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Sixth Township

No. 6

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Joseph Wilson, Plaintiff

vs

A Bunnell & C Kurtz, Defendants

Action Before S P Reid J P for Recovery of Money

Demand $ 108 00

A J Gould, Attorney for Plaintiff

C H Landers, Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 31st 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed. Summons issued.
" " "	Summons returned Served.
Feb 2nd 1881	Trial day on the application of Respective parties
" " "	Court opened at 10 30 A M. Respective parties in Court.
" " "	Trial by Jury Waived by Respective parties the defendants
" " "	files answer to Complaint Whereupon Witness on part of
" " "	Plaintiff and Defendants being duly sworn and examined
" " "	Complaint amended Witness out the many of the Parties by Respective parties and the evidence being Closed
" " "	Court take Evidence Consideration for one day
Feb 3 1881	Court opened at 10 15 A M respective parties in Court
	the Court after due deliberation files its findings
	and decision in Writing and orders that the Case
" " "	be dissmissed for Want of evidence to prove Cause
" " "	on the part of Plaintiff and the Plaintiff to pay
" " "	Costs of Suit action amounting to the sum of fifteen
" " "	dollars and twenty five Cents ($15 25/100)
	Constables Fees $2 25 — Witnesses for Plaintiff. A Shultz
	Justices 6 50 — Witness for Defendant Eugene Kurtz
	Interpreter Fee 3 00
	Witnesses 4 00
Feb 9 1881	Motion on Affidavit on part of Plaintiff for a
	reopening of the Case of Wilson Vs Bunnell
	for the introduction of Newly discovered evidence the former
	Judgment to be set aside the Court allows and orders
	a reopening of the aforesaid Case and on the
	application of Respective parties has set the 11th day of
Feb 11 1881	February A D 1881 Court opened at 11 O'clock A M. There being
" " "	no return of Subpeonas Case passoned one day
Feb 12 1881	Court opened at 11 O'clock A M Respective parties in Court Jury
	Waived by Respective Parties Whereupon Witnesses on part
	of Plaintiff and Defendant were duly Sworn and examined

No. 6

In the Justice's Court of Spith Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Joseph Hilario — Plaintiff

v.s

A Burrell — Defendant

Action Before S P Reid J P for Recovery of Money

Demand $ 108 00

A J Gould — Attorney for Plaintiff

C H Landers — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
	and the evidence being closed the Case was submitted to the Court for Consideration and decision and after due deliberation thereon the Court files its findings and decession in Writing. and order. that Judgment be entered herein in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant. Therefore by Reason of the Law and the findings aforesaid it is ordered Adjudged and Decreed. that Joseph Hilario the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from A. Burrell the Defendant the sum of one hundred and eight ($108) Dollars gold coin of the United States from the date hereof Notice together with said Plaintiff Costs and Disbursements incurred in this action amounting to the sum of
April 7 1881	Thirty eight Dollars 20/100 ($38 20/100) and accruing costs Execution issued April 1. 1881
April 12 1881	Execution Returned Satisfied in full

Judgment	$ 108 00	Witnesses For Plaintiff	
Constables Fees	$. 970	J F Fiedler. August Shultz 2	
Justices Fees	650	Charles Anthony & George Gregory 2	
Witnesses Fees	1 600	Antonio Hilario.	
Interpreters Fees	600	Witnesses for Defendant	
Execution & Filing 25	50	H S Wallace & Eugene Kurtz	
Keeping & Levy	3200	Interpreters John Pablo & J Navas	
Advertising	300		
Mileage	80		
	$ 18250		

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Spith Township

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

L P Hyzer, Plaintiff

v.s

D T S Collins, Defendant

Action Before S P Reid for direct Payment of Money

Demand $ 29.97½

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feb 25 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed.
" " "	Affidavit Sworn to Undertaking Approved.
" " "	Summons. and Writ of Attachment issued
Feb 28 1881	Summons & Writ of Attachment returned served
March 3rd 1881	Case dismissed on application of Plaintiff pay Costs
" " "	of action amounting to nine dollars and ten Cents
" " "	(9 1/10 dollars) Costs Paid
	Constables Fees $7 1/10
	Justices " 2.00
	$9.10

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Said Township

No. 8

In the Justice's Court of Saith Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

M W Belshaw & Victor Beaudry the firm of Owens Water Company Plaintiffs

vs

George Gregory Defendant

Action Before J P Reid for Recovery of Debt

Demand $110 35/100

C F Gulp Attorney for Plaintiffs

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
March 5th 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed
" " "	Affidavit sworn to Undertaking approved
" " "	Summons & Writ of Attachment issued
March 7 1881	Summons & Writ of attachment returned served
March 12 "	Answer to Complaint filed
" 14	Trial day Court opened at 2 O'clock P M
" " "	on the application of Respective Parties in open
" " "	Court do hereby postpone the Trial to the nineteenth day
" " "	of March
March 19 "	Trial day Court opened at 10 30 O'clock a m
" "	and the Court by Consent of Respective parties
" " "	postpone the Trial until March 21st 1881.
March 21 "	Court opened at 10 O'clock a m. Jury Waived
	by Respective Parties Witness on part of Plaintiffs
	and the Defendant being duly Sworn and Examined
	and all the Evidence being closed the Case was
	Submitted to the Court for Consideration and Decision
	and after due Deliberation the Court files its findings
	and Decision in Writing and orders that Judgment
	be entered herein in favor of Plaintiffs and gainst
	Defendant Wherefore by reson of the Law and the
	findings overseid it is so ordered adjudged and
	decreed that Belshaw & Beudry the Plaintiffs do
	have and recover of and from George Gregory the
	Defendant the Sum of one hundred and ten dollars
	and thirty five cents ($110 35/100) gold coin of the United States
	from date of Notice together with Plaintiffs Costs & Disbursement
	incurred in this action amounting to the Sum of
	twenty six Dollars and thirty cents ($26 30/100)
March 21 1881	Execution Issued
" 22 "	Execution Returned Satisfied in full
	Witness for Plaintiffs M E Harmon
	Constables Fees $19 80/100
	Justices " " 6 50/100 $27.05
	& Execution and Bidg

J P Reid
Justice of the Peace

No. 9

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

B G Dodge, Plaintiff
v.s
George Gregory, Defendant

Action Before S O Reid
for Recovery of Money on Promissory Note
Demand $225—
Reddy & Conklin & A J Gaulen
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
March 28 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed.
" "	Affidavit Sworn to. Undertaking approved.
" "	Summons and Writ of Attachment Issued.
March 31 "	Summons and Writ of Attachment returned served
April 6 "	Trial day Court opened no answer or appearance
" "	of Defendant on application of Plaintiff the
" "	Court enter Judgment in favor of Plaintiff and
" "	against Defendant Georg Gregory for the sum of two
" "	hundred and twenty five Dollars ($225—) in gold coin
" "	together with Plaintiffs Costs and Disbursement incurred
" "	in this Action amounting to the sum of Nineteen Dollars
" "	and Sixty cents ($19 60) and accruing Costs
April 6 1881	Execution Issued
April 19 1881	Execution returned satisfied in full

Judgment "	$225—
Constables Fees	$10.60
Justice "	6 50
Accruing costs	
Execution	50
filing	25
Keeping	
Levy	2 00
Advertising	3 00
	$247.85

S O Reid
Justice of the Peace
Said Township

No. 10

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

B Supenizka, Plaintiff

vs.

John McKennon, Defendant

Action For Direct Payment of Money on a Promissory Note

Demand $40.00

B Supenizka
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 24th 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed.
" " "	Affidavit Sworn to. Undertaking approved.
" " "	Summons. and Writ of Attachment issued.
May 27 "	Summons & Writ of Attachment Returned Served
May 31 "	Defendant Confesses Judgment and ~~Court~~ that
" " "	Cause is Dismissed by Consent of Respective
" " "	Parties defendant to pay Costs of Suit
	amounting to $24 50/100 Dollars Costs Paid
	Judgment $40.00
	Constables Fees 21 50
	Justices Fees 3 00
	$64.50

S O Wood
Justice of the Peace
Sixth Township

No. 11

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

August Seltz, Plaintiff

v.s

Joseph Wible, Defendant

Action Before S P Reid for the direct payment of Money

Demand $35-00

G J Gould, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 13th 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed.
July 1st "	Affidavit for Attachment Sworn to
" " "	Undertaking on Attachment Approved, and filed.
" " "	Summons and Writ of Attachment issued.
July 18th "	Summons and Writ of Attachment returned served
" " "	Defendant confesses judgment and pays principal amounting
" " "	to the sum of Thirty five $35-00 Dollars together with
" " "	Plaintiffs Costs amounting to the sum of Ten 50/100 $10.50
" " "	dollars. Paid

Judgment $35-50
Constables Fees 7-50
Justices Fees 3-00
$46.00

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Sixth Township

No. 12

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Amador

Peter McNally and R Whitehead Plaintiffs

v.s.

Thomas Pheby Defendant

Action Before S P Reid Justice of the Peace for the Direct payment of money

Demand $299 94/100

A J Gould Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 12th 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed.
" " "	Affidavit for Attachment to. and filed
" " "	Undertaking on Attachment Approved and filed
July 12th "	Summons and Writ of Attachment issued
July 14th "	Cause dismissed on application of plaintiffs
" " "	plaintiffs pays costs of suit amounting to the sum of five $5.00 Dollars Cash

Constables Fees $2 00
Justices Fees 3 00
5 00

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Sutter Township

No. 13

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Plaintiff

v.

Defendant

~~Action~~ S P Reid Justice of the Peace and acting as Coroner in the Matter of the ~~Demand $~~ of the Death of.

Ignacio Machedo De Azevedo

~~Attorney for Plaintiff~~

~~Attorney for Defendant~~

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 11. 1881	11½ O'Clock AM Notified of the falling of a tank of Water on Ignacio Machedo De Azevedo
July 12th "	Summons a Jury held and inquisition on the body of
" " "	Ignacio Machedo De Azevedo. Wittnesses sworn and examined
" " "	Verdict of the Jury. Death Caused by the
" " "	Accidental falling of a large tank filled with Water
" " "	Under Which he was Standing
" " "	Names of Jury as follows
" " "	Charles Eanthony
" " "	J A McKenzie
" " "	John Hawkins
" " "	A Y Robinson
" " "	Manuel Figario
" " "	Paul Pfefferly
" " "	Jose Williams
" " "	H S Chickering
" " "	Cheri Biais
July 12 1881	Esq Haskell Foreman

Names of Wittnesses as follows
J F Feidler
A J Chelvin

S P Reid Justice of the Peace
and acting as Coroner 6th Township
Inyo County California

No. 14

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Plaintiff

v.

Defendant

Action Before S B Reid Justice of the Peace acting Coroner in the Matter of the death of W E Cross Deceased

~~Demand $~~

~~Attorney for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 4th 1881	Notified of the death of W E Cross at Lookout
" " "	Sixth Township County of Inyo State of California
Dec 5th 1881	Summons a Jury an held an Inquisition on the body of W E Cross Witnesses sworn and examined Verdict of the Jury. We

That we find the deceased was named W E Cross and was a native of Germany 63 years of age and that he came to his death on the 4th day of December about 9 o'clock at night A D 1881. in this County by a Gun Shot wound inflicted by the hands of Frank Fitzgerald at Lookout Inyo County California. in performance of his duty as an Officer of the law

That we believe F Fitzgerald to be the person by whose act the death of the said W E Cross is occasioned. That according to the Testimony in the Case We the Jury find the Same Justifiable all of Which we duly Certify by this Inquisition in Writing by us Signed this 5th day of December A D 1881

Geo T Childs Foreman.	Witnesses
H F Long	Ben Stapp
James Martin	H H Long
F A Page	Thomas Deering
Art M Delano	Joseph Le Cyr
Gomer Jones	Paul Pfefferly
Thomas Deering	Dr John Sonal
	Dr B E Stevenson

The forgoing Verdict is approved by me

Coroners Fees $17.65

S B Reid

Acting Coroner

Inyo County. California

No. 15

In the Justice's Court of Lyth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Charles Anthony Plaintiff

v.s.

Fred Russell Defendant

Action before S P Reid Justice of the Peace

Demand $44.64

A J Gerla Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Nov 2nd 1881	Action Commenced. Complaint filed
" " "	Summons issued
" " "	Affidavit for Attachment sworn to Undertaking
" " "	on Attachment approved
" " "	Writ of Attachment Issued
Dec 22 1881	Summons and Writ of Attachment returned served
" " "	defendant Confesses Judgment and pays principal and
" " "	Costs amounting to the sum of $44.64 principal and
" " "	$5.00 costs of suit
	Judgment $44.64
	Constables Fees for serving process $2.00
	Justices Fees 3.00

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace

No. 16

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The people of the State of California — Plaintiffs

vs

See Lee — Defendant

Action before S P Reid justice of the Peace

~~Demand~~ $ Charge Petit Larceny

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 30th 1882	Complaint under oath filed
" " "	Warrant of Arrest issued
" " "	Trial day Court opened defendant in court
" " "	Defendant plead not guilty trial by Jury Waived
" " "	Witnesses examined under oath by the Court and by
" " "	respective parties and all the evidence being closed
" " "	and after due deliberation the court ~~fixes~~ its findings
" " "	and decision in writing and orders that the said
" " "	Defendant See Lee be imprisoned in the County jail
" " "	for twenty five days and to pay a fine of fifty
" " "	dollars and be imprisoned in the County Jail
" " "	until said fine shall be paid not exceeding twenty
" " "	five days
Jan 9th 1882	Committed to the County Jail in default of payment
" "	of fine

Constables Fees	$5 30	Witnesses
Justices Fees	3 00	Sam Edmonds
	$5 30	Michael Nagle

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Said Township

No. 17

In the Justice's Court of Slyth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of the State of California, Plaintiffs,

v.s.

Young Hen, Jake, Ah See, Im Yun, Defendants.

Action before S P Reid Justice of the Peace

~~Demand $~~ Charge Running Gaming and Keeping a house wherein gaming is allowed

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 10 1882	Information filed and sworn to Warrant of arrest issued
" " 19	Court opened at 2 O'clock P M Defendants present
" " "	trial by Jury Waived Defendants plead not guilty
" "	Witnesses Examined Under Oath by the Court and respective
" " "	parties and all the evidence being closed and after due
" " "	deliberation the Court files its findings and decision in
" " "	Writing and orders that the said Defendants.
" " "	Young Hen. Jake. Im Yun. & Ah See to pay a fine
" " "	each of $10.00 dollars. and to be imprisoned in the
" " "	Township Jail in Darwin until such fine is paid
" " 19	not exceeding five days

Witnesses for Plaintiffs
James Martin and

Constables Fees	$ 6 00
Justices Fees	3 00

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Swin Township

No. 18

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Fredrick Rupell, Plaintiff

v.

Inyo Consolidated Milling and Mining by Thomas Phelz Superintendent, Defendants

Action Before S P Reid Justice of the Peace

Demand $299 94/100 Gold coin of the United States

J J Gunn, Attorney for Plaintiff

A J Gooch, Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
February 6th 1882	Complaint filed action commenced.
" " "	Summons issued
" " "	affidavit for attachment sworn to.
" " "	Undertaking on attachment approved
" " "	Writ of Attachment issued
" " "	Summons and Writ of Attachment returned served
Feb 7 1882	This day on the application of Plaintiff and Defendant
" " "	Court opened respective parties present. Witnesses examined
" " "	under oath by respective parties. Defendant denys the Complaint
" " "	and documentary evidence being introduced by respective parties
" " "	and the evidence being closed the Case was submitted to the
" " "	Court for Consideration and decision and after due
" " "	deliberation the Court files its findings and decision in
" " "	writing and order that Judgment be entered herein in favor
" " "	of Plaintiff and against Defendant. Wherefore by reason of the
" " "	Law and the findings aforesaid it is so ordered adjudged and
" " "	decreed that the Inyo Consolidated milling and mining Company
" " "	by their Agent Thomas Phelz Defendants to pay unto
" " "	Fredrick Rupell the Plaintiff in the sum of $249 Dollars
" " "	United States Gold coin together with Plaintiffs costs and
" " "	disbursements incurred in this action amounting to the sum of
Feb 8 1882	$44 00 Principle $249 00 and $44 00 costs satisfied in full

Martin Mee & J H McPherson Witnesses for Plaintiff

A Robinson & Adolf Witnesses for Defendant

Constables Fees	$37 50
Justices Fees	6 50
	$44.00

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Inyo Township

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

B C Stevenson, Plaintiff

v.s.

Ah Hen, Defendant

Action Before S P Reid J P for the direct payment of ~~money~~

Demand $30.00 Gold Coin of the United States

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 6th 1882	Action Commenced Complaint filed
" " "	Summons issued. Affidavit for attachment sworn to
" " "	Undertaking on attachment approved.
" " "	Writ of attachment issued
" 10th "	Summons and writ of attachment returned served
" " "	Defendant Confesses Judgment and pays Principal
" " "	of $30.00 together with Costs of suit amounting to
" " "	the sum of $5.00.
	Constables Fees $2.00
	Justices Fees 3.00
	5.00

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
Said Township

No. 20

In the Justice's Court of Sixth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Plaintiff

v.

Defendant

~~Action~~ before S P Reid J. P. acting as Coroner in the matter ~~Demand $~~ of the Inquisition on the body of George Burkhart Deceased

~~Attorney for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 21 1882	Notifiee of the finding of the body of
" " "	George Burkhart near Coso Station Sixth Township.
" " "	County of Inyo State of California.
" 22 "	Summed a Jury and held an Inquisition
" " "	and summoned Witness. Witness sworn and
" " "	examined according to Law.
" " "	Verdict of the Jury.
" " "	We find that the deceased was named
" " "	George Burkhart. a native of Germany
" " "	aged about 56 years. and that he came
" " "	to his death on or about 21st day of May
" " "	A.D 1882. near Coso Station. this County and
" " "	State. and from Causes unknown to the
" " "	Jury
" " "	all of which we certify by this Inquisition
" " "	in Writing. by us signed this 22nd day of May
" " "	A.D 1882.
May 22 1882	George Courtwright Foreman
" "	J A McKenzie — Witness
" "	Jacob Jacobson — John Jolles
" "	August Seltz
" "	Cheri Biais
" "	H S Wallace

S P Reid
Justice of the Peace
and acting Coroner
Inyo County

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

J. C. Eddy — Plaintiff

vs

James Connors — Defendant

Action Before A. J. Gould Justice of the Peace for the direct payment of money

Demand $125.95

J. C. Eddy — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1885	
January 26	Complaint and Affidavit filed by J. C. Eddy. Undertaking approved by the Court and Demand made by Pltff for writ of Attachment against Defdt. Summons and writ of Attachment issued by the Court and placed in the hands of J. W. Murphey Constable of the 5 Township of Inyo County for Service. Made returnable according to law
"	
" 31	On this day Constable Murphey made return of Summons and writ of Attachment as duly served on Defendant with list of property attached
" "	Ordered by the Court the case by set for a hearing at 2 o'clock P. M. this day
" "	Now on this 31st day of Jan 1885 at the hour of 3 O'clock P. M. Plaintiff appeared in open Court. The Defendant failed to appear or make answer to Complaint. Plaintiff asked the Court to render Judgement by default.
	In view of the above premises and the Defendant having failed to answer Complaint, it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and receive from the Defendant the said sum of One hundred and Twenty five 95/100 dollars (125.95) Damages and Costs of Suit with accruing Costs
" "	Judgement entered and Execution issued and placed in hands of Constable Murphey for Service who made return this day of same Execution fully Satisfied

Damages $125.95 pa

Costs 20.10 pa

A. J. Gould
Justice of the Peace
Said Township

Received of A. J. Gould J. P. the sum of $125.95 in full of the above Judgement

J C Eddy

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Watterman & O'Brien — Plaintiffs

vs

Mrs C. F. Smart — Defendant

Action before A. J. Gould Justice of the Peace for the direct payment of Money

Demand $118.53

J. C. Eddy — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1885		
January	26	Complaint and Affidavit filed by J. C. Eddy agent for Plaintiffs, who made demand for a writ of Attachment by the filing of Undertaking in the sum of $200.00 – Bond approved by the Court and Summons and writ of Attachment issued, placed in the hands of J. W. Murphey Constable of the 5th Township of Inyo County for Service, Made returnable according to law
"	29	Now on this 29th day of January, 85 it appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court that the Defendant in this action is a Nonresident of Inyo County, a Certified Copy of the Complaint in said action was issued and placed in the hands of Constable Murphey and together with Summons and Attachment he was directed to send by mail the said papers to the Sheriff of Kern County or to the proper Constable thereof for Service
March	12	On this Constable Murphey made return of Summons and writ of Attachment as duely served by Constable Beckerstaff of Tehachapie Township in the County of Kern California on the 4 day of March 1885
		By order this case was set for a hearing on the 25 day of March 1885 at the hour of 2 O'Clock P. M. of said day
"	25	On this 25th day of March 1885 at the hour of 3 O'Clock P.M. Case was Called by Proclamation by Constable Murphey. Plaintiffs appeared by their attorney the Defendant not appearing. Plaintiffs asked for Judgement by Default. The law having been Complied with in this case, the Defendant having due notice according to law and no answer having been filed the Court ordered that Judgement by default be entered in favor of Plaintiffs and against the Defendant for the said sum of One hundred and Eighteen 53/100 dollars in lawful money of the U. S. and for Costs and accruing Costs of this Suit. Judgement entered accordingly
May	11	On this Eleventh day of May 1885 Execution was issued placed in the hands of J. W. Murphey Constable of the 5th Township of Inyo County for service Made returnable within 60 days after date
Justice fees		7.50 Paid

Judgement 118.53

Costs 19.00

Carried forward Page 31

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

George H. Sanderson, Plaintiff
v.
Richard Decker, Defendant

Action Before A. J. Gould Justice of the Peace for the direct payment of money

Demand $ 165.63

G. L. Beally, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1885		
April	8	Complaint and Affidavit filed by G. L. Beally Agent and Attorney for Plaintiff Demand made by Plaintiffs attorney for a writ of Attachment against the Defendants property. On filing an Undertaking by Plaintiffs attorney in the Sum of Two hundred dollars approved by the Court, Summons and writ of Attachment was issued and placed in the hands of J. W. Murphey Constable of the 5th Township of Inyo County for Service. Made returnable according to law
"	13	On this 13th day of April 1885 Richard Decker the defendant in the above entitled action appeared in open Court and entered (verbally) a general denial of each and every allegation contained in the Complaint for his answer.
"	"	Plaintiff by his Attorney and Defendant appeared and by agreement of both case was set by the Court for a hearing on Thursday the 23rd day of April 1885 at the hour of 10 O'Clock A. M. of said day
"	14	Constable Murphey made return of Summons and writ of Attachment as duly served by delivering true copies thereof to defendant and Garnisheeing Money in the hands of Lorman and McKinzie
"	23	On this 23rd day of April 1885 at the hour of 11 O'Clock A. M. Case was called Plaintiff appeared by his Attorney G. L. Beally Defendant failed to appear after due notice by proclamation by the Constable, Plaintiffs Attorney introduced Charles Anthony and Frank Fitzgerald as witnesses who were duly sworn and testified touching the authority of Pltff, and to the correctness of of the demand Court ordered that Judgement be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against the Defendant Richard Decker in the sum of One hundred and Sixty five 63/100 dollars and for Costs of Suit and accruing costs. Judgement entered accordingly Execution issued placed in the hands of J. W. Murphey Constable for Service and returnable in 60 days after date hereof

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

George H. Sanderson
Plaintiff
vs
Richard Decker
Defendant

Action .....

Demand $ 165.53 Continued

Attorney for Plaintiff .....

Attorney for Defendant .....

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
		Continued
Justice		
June	13	On this 13th day of June 1885 Constable Murphy made return of Execution unsatisfied
		Judgement 165.53
		Costs Paid 14.05
		Paid Costs 14.05
		Cash from J. & M. 1188

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Geo. W. Sanderson — Plaintiff

vs

James Conners — Defendant

Action Before A. J. Gould Justice of the Peace for the direct payment of Money

Demand $74.30

T. L. Beatley — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1885 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	14	Complaint and Affidavit filed by T. L. Beatley Attorney and Agent for Plaintiff. Undertaking with two Sureties in the Sum of one hundred dollars. Approved by the Court filed. Plaintiff's Attorney made a demand for a writ of Attachment against the
101		property of the Defendant James Conners. Summons and writ of Attachment issued and placed in the hands of J. W. Murphy Constable of the 5th Township of Inyo County for Service and made returnable according to Law
"	5	On this 5th day of May 1885 Constable Murphy made return of Summons and writ of Attachment as duly served by delivering true Copies thereof to the Defendant James Conners and by attaching the property of Defendant as per Schedule returned
"	7	On this 7th day of May 1885 James Conners the defendant in
100		the above entitled action appeared in Court and made Confession of Judgement in favor of Geo. W. Sanderson the Plaintiff for the Sum of Seventy four 30/100 dollars (the amount sued for,) and for Costs of this action. Ordered by the Court that Judgement be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against the said defendant for said Sum of Seventy four 30/100 dollars and Costs and accruing Costs of this action
"	11	On this Eleventh day of May 1885 Execution was issued placed in the hands of J. W. Murphy Constable of the 5th Township for Service made returnable within 60 days after date hereof.
348 +08 39		
July	10	Constable Murphy made return of Execution unsatisfied, property sold not sufficient to satisfy Judgement
		Justices Fees 7.00 Paid; Constable fees 6.00 paid — Amount of sale $27.00 Charles Anthony purchaser
350		

No.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Waterman & O'Brien, Plaintiffs,
vs
Matthias Ignacio, Defendant

Action for the recovery of money

Demand $30.09

J. C. Eddy, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		1886 PROCEEDINGS
March	5	Complaint and Affidavit filed by J. C. Eddy Attorney and agent for Pltffs, Undertaking filed Pltffs Attorney made demand for writ of attachment against the property of Defendant. Matthias Ignacio Summons & writ of Attachment issued and placed in the hands of J. W. Murphy Constable of the Fifth Township of Inyo County for Service and made returnable according to Law
"	6	Constable Murphy made return of Summons and writ of attachment as duly served on Defendant Ignacia who settled demand and Costs of this action demand 30.09 Justices fees 2.25 Constables do 4.70 A. J. Gould Justice 40.04 Recd of A. J. Gould Justice of the Peace Payment in full of Judgement in the above entitled action March 6th 1886 Waterman & O'Brien By J. C. Eddy

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

The People
Plaintiff
v.s
Mrs T. Ford
Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief and drunkness

Demand $ .....

J. C. Eddy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1886	
April 26	Complaint filed on this 26th day of April 1886 by J. C. Eddy charging the defendant – Mrs T. Ford with Malicious Mischief and drunkness
	Warrant of Arrest issued and placed in the hands of J. W. Murphy for service made returnable according to law
May 3	Constable Murphy made return Warrant of Arrest as duly served by arresting the defendant and placing her in jail
" "	J. C. Eddy the Complaining witness withdrew the prosecution, paid the costs of Court and asked the discharge of Defendant
	Case dismissed

Costs
Court 3.00 Paid
Constable 2.50 Paid

A. F. Gould
Justice of the Peace
of Said Township.

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

The People of the State of California, Plaintiffs

v.

Shin Chung, Defendant

Action for Misdemeanor Selling liquor without a license

Demand $ .....

J. C. Eddy, Attorney for Plaintiffs

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1886		
May	2	Complaint filed by J. C. Eddy on this 2nd day of May 1886 Charging one Shin Chung the defendant with Selling liquor without having obtained a license
"		Warrant of Arrest was issued, placed in the hands of J. W. Murphy for Service made returnable according to law
"	3	Constable Murphy made return of Warrant as duly served and produced the defendant Shin Chung into Court, who plead Not guilty to the Charge Whereupon the defendant waived a jury and the following Witnesses was examined by the Court after being duly Sworn, to wit J. C. Eddy Mrs T. Paul and Walter Harris The defendant offered no evidence after deliberation Court found the defendant Guilty as charged after the law being explained to defendant he (the defendant expressly waived the time allowed by law for passing Sentence Court proceeded to pass Sentence as follows that you Shin Chung the defendant in this action do pay a fine of Thirty $30.– dollars into this Court and in default of such payment you be taken hence to the County Jail in the Town of Independence the County Seat of Inyo Co and incarcerated in said jail until said fine is paid not to exceed 30 days Judgment entered accordingly

Court fees — Fine paid 30,00

Filing Cos 3.00 } Paid — Costs 14.00

Issuing Com 2.00 }

Constable fees — $16.00

G. 00 Paid — Ballance sent to the Co Treasurer

A. D. Gordet, Justice of the Peace

No.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People
vs
John Doe Chinaman

Plaintiffs
Defendant

Action for Misdemeanor
Before A. J. Gould J. P.
Demand $
J. C. Eddy
Attorney for Plaintiff
Martin Mee
Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1886 June	9	Complaint filed by J. W. Murphy Charging one John Doe a Chinaman with Selling and furnishing Opiates with Liquor. Warrant issued placed in the hands of J. W. Murphy Constable of the Fifth Township of Inyo Co for Service made returnable forthwith.
"	10	On this 10th day of June 1886 Constable Murphy made return of Warrant of Arrest as duly Served by producing the Prisoner John Doe (Chinaman) into Court who was represented by Martin Mee Esqr as Attorney for Defendant who demanded a Jury of 12 Citizens to try the Case. Venire issued for 12 men to act as Jurors
"		Also Subpoenas issued for Charles Anthony & Florence. Case Continued to June 11th 1886 at 5 o'clock P. M.
"	11	At the hour of 5 30 o'clock P. M. on this 11th day of June 1886 Defendant appeared in open Court and plead not Guilty to the Charge whereupon a Jury of 12 men was impanelled and Sworn to try the Case. J. C. Eddy appeared for the People and Martin Mee for the Defense. J. W. Murphy and Florence (an Indian Woman) was Sworn and testified on the part of the Prosecution no further testimony was introduced. Counsel for the defense moved a dismissal of the Case on the ground of failure to prove the Charge. After argument pro & con motion overruled by the Court. Case argued by Counsel and Submitted to the Jury who found a Verdict of acquittal of the Defendant. Jury discharged also the Defendant was discharged from Custody. A. J. Gould Justice of the Peace

Fees Case 3.00
Witness .50
Swearing Jury .70
Summons 1.00

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

Wattsmann & O'Brien, Plaintiffs

vs

Mrs E. H. Smart, Defendant

Action .....

Demand $ .....

Attorney for Plaintiff .....

Continued from Pg 23

Attorney for Defendant .....

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1885		
July	5	On this 5th day of July 1885 J. W. Murphey Constable 5th Township of Inyo County made return of Execution unsatisfied made returns of sale of property of Defendant as per Schedule now on file

Judgment	118.53
Costs	19.90
Accruing Costs	19 37
Total	157.80
Contra Sale of property	69.75
Ballance	$ 88.05

No.

In the Justice's Court of 4th Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of the State of California, Plaintiff,
vs
Edward Flynn, Defendant

Action Criminal assault with deadly weapon

Demand $

Richard Decker, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1886		
Nov	30	Complaint filed this day Charging Edward Flynn with assault upon the person of Richard Decker with a deadly weapon in the hands of the Deft Edward Hellynn Richard Decker being sworn testified that the defendant Flynn committed the assault
"	"	Warrant of Arrest issued placed in the hands of J. W. Murphey Constable 5th Township of Inyo County for Service made returnable forthwith Subpoena issued for Wm O'Brien as a witness for the People
"	30	Case Called at 2 P.M. this day Constable Murphey made return of Warrant of Arrest and brought the Prisoner Edward Flynn into Court who Plead guilty to the Charge Richard Decker appeared as Attorney for the People made a Motion to have testimony reduced to writing and after hearing argument Court took Case under advisement until 10 O'clock Dec 1st. At the hour of 10 O'clock A.M. Dec 1st
Dec	1	Court was Called R Decker for the People Constable Murphey appeared and stated the Prisoner Edward Flynn had escaped he having been allowed to go on his own recognizance Case Postponed until the apprehension of the Prisoner

Costs $3.00
Court fees 3 40

Boarding House Dr

Gr Water Works

Sept	1	Gr Cash	for 4 Pigs Jno Wilson	8 00	
	12	" "	to Fitzgerald for Squash	1 00	
	14	" "	to LaBarge " fruit	2 50	
		" "	Postage Stamps	80	
					12 30
		Gr Water			34 80
					47 10

90130
81 82130

Hardy

7501
8.90
1.00
84.91

100
85
15

100
27.95
72

28.57
8.82
2.98
2.58
42 95
15
27.95

46.30

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

George H. Sanderson, Plaintiff
vs
Patrick Brophy, Defendant

Action to recover debt for goods sold and delivered
Demand $ 49.30

Charles Anthony
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1886		
Dec	2	Complaint filed by Charles Anthony agent for Geo H Sanderson Plff in the above entitled action setting forth the grounds of action
"	"	Affidavit filed demanding a writ of attachment Money deposited $50.00 as security
"	"	Summons and writ of attachment issued placed in the hands of J. W. Murphy Constable of 5th Township of Inyo Co for service made returnable according to law
"	3	Constable Murphy made return of Summons & writ of attachment as served and list of property attached
		Now on this 3rd day of December 1886 Patrick Brophy the Defendant in the above entitled action appeared in open Court and made Confession of Judgment for the amount sued for (to wit) 49.30 Dollars and Costs of suit
		It is hereby ordered and adjudged that the Plff George H Sanderson do have judgement against said defendant Patrick Brophy in the sum of forty nine 30/100 Dollars damages and for costs of suit
Dec	3	Execution issued made returnable within 60 days
		Judgment — $49.30
		Justices fees 5.00
		Constable fees 1.20 $63.50
"	"	Cr By justices fees 5.00 — Total

A. J. Gould
Justice of the Peace

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Geo H Sanderson — Plaintiff

vs

Miguel Escobar — Defendant

Action to recover debt for goods sold and delivered

Demand $ 80 90

Chas Anthony — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1887 Jan	31	Complaint filed by Chas Anthony agt for Geo H. Sanderson Pltff in the above entitled action setting forth the grounds of action.
Feb	5	Affidavit filed demanding writ of attachment. Money deposited $150 as security. Summons & writ of attachment issued placed in the hands of Paul Pfefferle acting constable of 5th township of Inyo Co. for service made returnable according to law. Summons & writ of attachment returned served — Writ of attachment served upon Frank Fitzgerald as creditor of Deft in garnishment of the sum of 80 90.
Feb	11	On this day Plaintiff appeared in open court, defendant failed to appear. Plaintiff asked the court to render judgement by default. In view of the above premises and the defendant having failed to appear it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and receive from defendant the said sum of 80 90 together with costs of suit.
Feb	17	Execution issued made returnable in 60 days
Mch	17	Execution returned. The sum of 45 23 reported by acting constable as collected from F Fitzgerald creditor of Defendant. Amt Collected & paid to Plaintiff 45 23 Costs 9 75 John F Howley Justice Peace 5 township.
		Justice fees 5 00 paid

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fort Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

People of the State of California, Plaintiffs
v.s
John Jones
W E Rothrock, Defendants

Action For Pedling Goods wares & Merchandise without license

Demand $ .....

Attorney for Plaintiff .....

Attorney for Defendant .....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1884 May 28	John Wilson Constable 5th Township being sworn says he demanded of defendants for license for pedling goods wares and Merchandise and they could not show lawful authority for so doing. Wherefore Complaint was made against defendants for violating §3384 political Code State of California which prohibits pedling without license. Warrant for the arrest of defendants was made out and placed in hands of Constable John Wilson for immediate service. John Jones being arrested and brought into Court made oath that he was doing business of pedler also that he had a license which he had inadvertantly left behind him at Keeler. Could not produce testimony to prove that he had a license. Also stated that W E Rothrick was in no way connected with him or engaged in the business of pedling. W E Rothrick being called into Court stated under oath that he was not engaged in the business of pedling & Action dismissed as regards defendant W E Rothrick. In the judgment of the Court John Jones was ordered to pay into the hands of the Court 23.00 (15.00 fine & 8.00 Costs) which sum would be retained in the hands of the Court until proof was made that license had been issued to John Jones as he claimed.
31	Telegram rec'd from Mr Jan of Bishop Creek in answer to telegram from John Jones asking whether he did not receive money from him, Jones, on 3rd of May for license for one month, answers "Yes sir I received the money and issued you the license" & 23.00 refunded to John Jones. Action dismissed

Costs Justice fees 5.00
" Constable 2.50
7.50

Jno P Crowley
Justice of the Peace 5th Township

No.

In the Justice's Court of Inyo Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

People of State of California
vs
Mrs P. Gale

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief & Drunkenness

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
June	8	Complaint filed this 8 day of June. Warrant of Arrest issued and placed in hands of Constable Wilson for service according to law. Constable Wilson reported that defendant was not in condition to be brought before the Court at present.
"	10	Case dismissed. Failure to prosecute.
		Jno A Hawley Justice Peace
		Justice Fees 2.00

People of the State of Cal. Plff
vs
John Doe Chinaman Def.

action.
Selling liquor without license

June 8 Constable J. Wilson appeared in court and made complaint charging John Doe Chinaman with selling liquor to Mrs Gale without license. Warrant of arrest issued and placed in hands of Constable for immediate service. Defendant arrested and brought into Court. Walter sworn as witness testified that John Doe Chinaman did not sell or furnish liquor to Mrs Gale. Charge dismissed and defendant released from arrest.

John A Hawley
Justice Peace 5 Township.

Fees. Justice Peace 3.00 Paid
" Constable

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Manuel Frates, Plaintiff
v.s
Antonio Milano, Defendant

Action: departing from the State and defrauding Creditor

Demand $ 299.00/100

P. Reddy, Attorney for Plaintiff
C. Muelhollan, Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
June 1887	19	Complaint filed by P. Reddy Attorney for plaintiff. Affidavit for order of arrest issued and filed. The sum of Three hundred Dollars was deposited by Plaintiff with the Court as security in lieu of undertaking. Summons and order of arrest issued and placed in the hands of Constable J. Wilson for immediate service and made returnable according to law.
June	21	Constable J. Wilson made return of having served order of arrest with complaint and summons upon the defendant at Keeler and brought him before the Court at 5 o.c. p.m. The Court asked defendant if he had any one to represent him in the case. Answered that he had not. The Court appointed the trial to be set for 8 o.c. p.m. J. C. Eddy became surety in the sum of $300.00 for appearance of defendant at 8 o.c. p.m. the date. At 8 o.c. case was called and defendant made answer denying each and every allegation of plaintiffs complaint. Manuel Frates Plaintiff sworn, testified that he had worked for defendant in his coal camp burning coal and cutting wood for the period of 48 months. That he was to receive as wages $45.00 the first month and $40.00 per month for every month thereafter. That he was paid on account of his wages in coin $655.00 Ta 8 suits of clothes and tobacco, during ~~that time~~. ~~And that~~ defendant departed on the stage from Darwin without making settlement with him. Antonio Milano defendant sworn, testified that plaintiff resided in his camp for 4 years and worked more or less but did not contract to pay Plaintiff $40.00 per month for the entire time but $45.00 for the first month, after that he did not agree to pay him any regular wages. After few remarks by plaintiffs counsel, case closed, Court adjourned to meet at 9 o.c. tomorrow morning to render decision. See bottom of page
June	22	Court met pursuant to adjournment at 9 o.c. a.m. Before decision was read Mr C. Muelholland came into the case as counsel for the defendant. Made objections to the proceedings as null and void on the ground that the Court had not informed the defendant that he could have a trial by Jury even though none was demanded. Objections overruled. Defendants right to appeal was recognized by the Court. The decision of the Court was then read. Judgement entered for plaintiff. J. C. Eddy became surety for defendants appearance in court on day June 22 inst. in the sum of $450.

No.

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Manuel Fratis — Plaintiff

vs

Antonio Hilario — Defendant

Action Departing from the State to defrauding creditor

Demand $299.00 for

P. Keeley — Attorney for Plaintiff

C. Mulholland — Attorney for Defendant

Continued from page 37

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 22 1887	for said sum of $299.00 together with costs of suit. Execution issued and placed in hands of Constable Wilson for service. Constable Wilson made return of same certifying that he could not find any property of defendant A. Hilario within the County (having made diligent inquiry about same) on which to levy the execution and returned same unsatisfied. Manuel Fratis plaintiff made affidavit asking order from the Court for the appearance in Court of defendant A. Hilario at 10.30 o.c. to answer questions as to the disposition of his property. The Court issued order as prayed for by Plaintiff in his affidavit. Defendant A. Hilario brought before the court, sworn and questioned as to the disposition of his money. Said he had no money save $30. Questioned as to what disposition he made of the $450.00 received from Mr Eddy answered that he had paid Attorney 10.00 & fee 21.50 Manuel Fratis 80.00 and that he had spent all the money. P. C. Eddy sworn testified that he was paid at one time 450.00 and other amts by defendant. Subpoenas issued for the attendance at court as witnesses for plaintiff John Barnes & Thos Boland. P. Keeley. Court adjourned until 3 o.c. p.m. to morrow. Defendant failing to give bonds was remanded to custody of the Constable.
June 23	Court met at 3 o.c. p.m. this day. Thos Boland sworn and examined. Stated defendant on May 25th sent down to San Francisco a certificate of deposit for $1000.00 Coin returned June 3. taken away by defendant June 4. John Barnes sworn and examined. Testified that defendant gave him $1200.00 to send to Jose Guillaumo Soares 315 Sansome St San Francisco. and that he sent it Wells Fargo & Co. receipt for the money placed in evidence. Manuel Fratis made affidavit charging Anton Hilario with the crime of perjury and asking order for his arrest. Order of arrest issued & placed in hands of constable for immediate service. Defendant arrested in Court. Preliminary examination set for 8 o.c. p.m. this date. Examination of J. Barnes continued as to defendant's giving him money to send away. Defendant Hilario re-examined. testified to giving Mr Barnes $1200.00 the other day. which he sent to his son as before mentioned. Court then resumed the case of the people of the State of Cal vs A. Hilario & then adjourned to 8.00 p.m. this date.

Continued on page 39

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Manuel Frates, Plaintiff
v.s
Antonio Hilario, Defendant

Action Departing from the State and defrauding creditor

Demand $ 299 00/100

P. Reddy, Attorney for Plaintiff

C Yelhoeland, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887	PROCEEDINGS
June 23	Court met at 8 o.c. p.m this date. Counsel for Plaintiff made a motion for the court to issue an order requiring defendant to be brought before him, and ordering defendant to pay the amt of the judgment to Plaintiff Manuel Frates, out of the $1200 00/100 Court took the Demurrer under adviserment until 9 o.c. a.m tomorrow Court adjourned until that time.
June 24	Court met 9 o.c. a.m. this date. Court decided and issued order requiring Defendant to appear and to pay said judgement of $299 – to Plaintiff together with costs. As Counsel for defendant was not present and to give time for consideration to defendant Court adjourned until 8 o.c. p.m this date. Court met at 8.30 p.m. Defendant appeared with Counsel. Said order, to pay defendant amount of judgment $299 00/100 with costs read to the defendant. He refused to comply. Whereupon the Court adjudged him guilty of contempt, and ordered that he be committed for contempt in that he refused to obey the order of the Court to pay out of the 1200 00/100 belonging to him an amount sufficient to satisfy the judgement with costs rendered in favor of Plaintiff Manuel Frates – Ordered that he be committed for imprisonment until he complys with the order of the Court. The following Judgement for Contempt and Commitment was issued by the Court. Whereas a Judgement in favor of Manuel Frates Plaintiff for $299 00/100 and costs of suit was rendered by me on the 22 day of June 1887 in said Court and execution issued and placed in the hands of constable for service and that he returned the same unsatisfied and that he could find no property of Defendant A Hilario within the County having made diligent inquiry about the same and whereas Manuel Frates plaintiff made affidavit that the defendant had property which he unjustly refused to apply to the satisfaction of said judgement and whereas it was shown from the testimony of John Barnes in said Court on the 23d day of June 1887 that he received $1200 00/100 dollars in gold coin of America from defendant at Keeler on the 20th day of June 1887 which at defendants request he sent by Wells Fargo's Express to Jose Guillaumun Soares, which person defendant testified as being his son, at 315 Drum St San Francisco and whereas on the 24 day of June 1887 an order was issued

Continued on page 41

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

People of the State of California, Plaintiff
vs
Antonio Hilario, Defendant

Action on Charge of Perjury
Examination for Commitment
Demand $ .....
P. Read, Attorney for Plaintiff
C. Mulholland, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 188[illegible]	PROCEEDINGS
June 23	Court met at 8.30 p.m. this date. Warrant issued and made off charging Antonio Hilario with the crime of perjury and asking order for his arrest. Defendant arrested. Deposition of John Barnes taken for the prosecution. Deposition of Charles Mulholland for the prosecution taken in Court. Defendant appeared in Court with his counsel at the opening of Court. From the depositions taken and from evidence before the Court that there was cause to believe the said Antonio Hilario guilty of perjury the Court ordered that he be held to answer to the same. Court adjourned until 9.30 A.M. next day.
June 24	Court met counsel for Defendant not present and to give further time Court adjourned until 8 O.C. p.m this date.
"	Court met at 8 O.C. p.m this date. Court made following order. It appearing to me that the offense, namely perjury, in the within depositions mentioned has been committed and that is sufficient cause to believe the within-named Antonio Hilario guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the same and that he is admitted to bail in the sum of two thousand Dollars ($2000 00/100) and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until he gives such bail. Order for commitment made and given to constable Wilson. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Constable. Court adjourned. Geo F. Hawley Justice of the Peace & ex officio of Inyo Co

Justice Fees 3.00 paid

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Manuel Prates, Plaintiff
vs
Antonio Hilario, Defendant

Action Departing from the State to defrauding creditor

Demand $299 00/100

P. Reddy, Attorney for Plaintiff

C. Mulholland, Attorney for Defendant

Continued from page 39

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
	by this Court requiring Defendant to appear before this Court & to pay out of the $1200 00/100 Dollars aforesaid which it appears to the Court still belongs to him & is under his Control, a sufficient amount to satisfy said judgment of $299 00/100 Dollars with costs of Court & whereas Defendant Hilario did appear but refused to comply with said order although it appeared to the satisfaction of the Court that he had the means of so doing. Therefore he is adjudged by the Court guilty of Contempt & it is hereby ordered that he be delivered into the custody of the Sheriff of Inyo Co. Cal & imprisoned until he obeys said order of the Court. Given under my hand this 24 day of June 1887
	John F. Hawley Justice of the Peace Township Inyo Co Cal
	Justice Fees 13 60 paid
	Constable Fees 48 80 paid
	$ 62.40

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Jno. Murphy, Plaintiff
vs
Francois Dorbe and Ambrois Dorbe, Defendants

Action For the recovery of money

Demand $53 50

Jno Murphy, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
June	29	Complaint filed. O Anthony deposited $100 in gold coin in lieu of undertaking. Affidavit of ~~attachment~~ attachment made. Writ of attachment and ~~copy~~ of summons issued and placed in hands of constable J. Wilson for immediate service. Constable Wilson made return of having served copy of complaint, summons and attachment upon defendant, also served writ of attachment upon Frank Fitzgerald in garnishment, who made statement that he was debtor to defendant in sum of sixty five 00/100 ($65 00)
July	6	On this day plaintiff came into court and asked judgment to be rendered by default. As the defendants had failed to appear in court and had failed to make answer to the complaint, the Court accordingly rendered judgment in favor of plaintiff for fifty three 50/100 dollars and ten 60/100 dollars costs of suit. Execution issued and placed in hands of constable for service and made returnable in 60 days.
July	11	Constable Wilson made return of execution and levied upon ~~property~~ money of defendant Francois Dorbe in hands of Frank Fitzgerald. And collected the sum of sixty four 10/100 ($64 10) The amt of the demand was paid to plaintiff $53 50.

Jno. Ritteway
Justice of the peace 5 Township

Demand 53 50 paid
Costs. 10 60 paid.
64,10

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Geo. H. Sanderson
by C. Anthony Agt
Plaintiff

vs.

John Marshall
Defendant

Action For the recovery of Money

Demand $ 299 99/100

C. Anthony
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Sep 27	Complaint entered and filed. Undertaking with J. L. Eddy and J. M. Gunn as sureties in the sum of three hundred Dollars ($300.00) approved and filed. Affidavit of attachment made. Writ of attachment and summons issued and placed in hands of Constable Wilson for immediate service.
30	Plaintiff withdrew complaint and on motion suit was dismissed.
	Jno F. Hawley Justice Peace 5 Township
	Justice fees 5.00

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

People of the State of California, Plaintiff
v.s
G. R. Garcia, Defendant

Action: A charge of Grand Larceny
Examination for Commitment
Demand $.....

Attorney for Plaintiff
J. M. Murphy & Richards
Attorneys for Defendant

1884 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	28	Complaint made and sworn to by P. Cafferty charging G R Garcia with felniously stealing a gold watch from his person on the night of the 27 of Dec 1884. Warrant of arrest issued and placed in hands of constable J Bryson for immediate service. Defendant brought before the Court and the hour of 4 o.c. p m this date set for examination. Court met at 4. p.m. Deposition of J R Eddy taken. The Court not deeming the charge proven dismissed the case. Prisoner discharged
		Jno. F. Hawley Justice of the Peace 5 Township
		Justice fees 3.00

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

J C Eddy, Plaintiff
vs
China Sam, Defendant

Action For Recovery of Money

Demand $11 25

J C Eddy, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1887 Dec 31	Complaint by J C Eddy setting forth the grounds of action in the above entitled case. Affedavit filed demanding writ of attachment. Money deposited in lieu of undertaking to the amount of $25 00 dollars. Attachment issued and placed in hands of Constable John Wilson for immediate service. Summons & writ of attachment returned served. Writ ~~upon~~ of attachment served upon Mrs M Beady who reported $15 00 due from her to defendant.
Jan 6	Defendant not having appeared in Court nor made answer to complaint. Court awarded judgement to plaintiff for the amount claimed viz 11 25 together with costs of suit. Execution issued and placed in hands of Constable for service made returnable in 60 days
20	Constable Wilson made return of having served execution upon Mrs M Beady and collected the sum of 22 75. $11 25 amt sued for was paid to Plaintiff due his rect later

Jno F Hawley
Justice peace 5 township

Justice fees	5 00
Constable "	6 50
Judgement	11 25
	22 75

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action For the recovery of money

Andrea Miranda, Plaintiff

v.s

Phileck Langworthy, Defendant

Demand $ 51.00

Attorney ..... for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1888 ah	28	Complaint made by A Miranda setting forth the grounds of action in the above entitled case. Affidavit filed demanding writ of attachment, Undertaking with J B Eddy and F. Lines sureties in the sum of one hundred 00/100 dollars (100.00). Summons and writ of attachment issued.
May	12	Writ of attachment returned served upon Frank Cox as having funds in his possession belonging to deft. Cox made statement that he had money in his possession belonging to defendant to the amt of $14.11.
"	14	Summons served upon defendant this fourteenth day of May 1888.
"	18	On this 18 day of May defendant came into Court and made answer to complaint demurring to power of writ of attachment to hold money in hands of Frank Cox to amt $14.11, inasmuch as he had made an assignment of all monies coming to him from ores shipped to Kelly & Co by Langworthy & Cox which said 14.11 was the amount coming to him after paying said indebtedness on said ores shipped by Langworthy & Cox. Trial set for 2 pm this day. On this 18 day of May at 2 oc pm Court met. Argument was heard by court from Defendant, and from H Metter agent of Sanderson to whom Defendant assigned his interest in the ore, with regard to the validity of the assignment after due deliberation the Court decided that the assignment was not legal, as one partner cannot assign partnership property or any portion thereof to a creditor. Judgment entered for Plaintiff and execution issued returnable in 5 days. Execution returned served upon F. Cox, who paid in 14.11 total amt of money in his hands belonging to defendant.

Fees justice 3.50
Constable 3.50
Paid Plff 7.11

Demand. 51.00
14.11
36.89 amt unsatisfied

Jno F Hawley
Justice of the peace 5 township

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Henry Jackson, Plaintiff
v.s
New Coso Mining Co., Defendant

Action For the recovery of money

Demand $243 72

Attorney for Plaintiff .....

Attorney for Defendant .....

DATE 1888	4 o'c p m	PROCEEDINGS
June	7	Complaint made by Henry Jackson setting forth grounds of action in above entitled case, Affidavit filed demanding writ of attachment. Undertaking with J. L. Eady and Martin Lee as sureties in the sum of One hundred 00/100 dollars (100 00) – Summons and writ of attachment issued and placed in hands of Constable Wilson for service.
July	7	Summons returned by Constable John Wilson with statement that Defdt could not be found in the County of Inyo. The said summons with County Clerks seal attached was therefore forwarded to the Sheriff of San Francisco County for service, who made due return that he had served the same together with the accompanying Complaint upon J. L. Hunt the secretary of the New Coso Mining Company, Defendant. Constable also made return of having attached personal property of Defendant consisting of 112 sacks silver lead ore and miscellaneous articles as per schedule attached.
July	12	On this 12 day of July defendant by his attorney John W. P. Laird sent answer to the complaint of plaintiff denying generally and specifically every allegation in the said complaint contained –
"	14	On this day Court met at 2 o'c p. m. defendant stated that he was not ready to go to trial and asked for a continuance, Court adjourned to 8 o'c p m same day. Court met at 8 o'c p m. same day, Defendant asked for a continuance of the case as his counsel was engaged in a case now pending at Independence and it was impossible for him to leave. The Court took matter under advisement and in justice to the other side was not disposed to continue the case longer than the 18 instant – This date was not satisfactory to defendant who after entering an objection to the ruling preferred to go on with the case at this time. Defense made motion to change venue to San Francisco. Motion overruled objection by defense. Plaintiff sworn and examined. Asked if he had worked for the New Coso Co. Objected to by defense on ground that plaintiff must first prove that there is such a corporation in existence as the New Coso Co. Plaintiff testified that he had engaged his work and from business relations and transactions with him understood

(Continued upon page 50 –)

No.

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Michael Gearing, Plaintiff
vs
New Coso Mining Co, Defendant

Action For the recovery of money

Demand $160.25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
June	27	Complaint made by Michael Gearing Pltff setting forth the grounds of the above entitled action. Affidavit filed demanding writ of attachment. Undertaking with J.C. Eddy and Martin Apel sureties in the sum of $100.00. Summons and writ of attachment issued and placed in hands of Constable Wilson for service
July	2	Summons returned by Constable John Wilson with statement that defendant could not be found in the County of Inyo. The said summons with County Clerk's seal attached was therefore forwarded to the Sheriff of San Francisco County for service who made due return that he had served the same together with the accompanying complaint upon J.L. Hunt the Secretary of the New Coso Mining Company defendant, Constable also made return of having attached the New Coso mine, the American Union Mine, and the defendant's half interest in the Grover Cleveland mine together with some personal property consisting of mining tools etc as per schedule attached.
July	12	On this 12 day of July defendant by his attorney John W. Laird sent answer to the complaint of plaintiff denying generally and specifically every allegation in the said complaint contained.
July	14	On this day Court met at 2 p.m. defendant stated that he was not ready to go to trial and asked for a continuance. Court adjourned to 8 a.m. p.m. same day. Court met at 8 a.m. p.m. this day. Defendant asked for a continuance of the case as his counsel was engaged in case now pending at Independence and was impossible for him to leave. The Court took matter under advisement and and in justice to the other side, was not disposed to continue the case longer than the 18th instant. This decision was not satisfactory to Defendant who after entering an objection to the ruling preferred to go on with the case at this time. Defense made motion to change venue to County of San Francisco. Motion overruled, objection by defense. Plaintiff sworn and examined. Asked if he had worked for the New Coso Co. Objected to by defense on ground that plaintiff must first
July	16	At Moles Plaintiff & Court dismissed [illegible] Costs paid. 50 paid. Continued on page [illegible] per Stipulation

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

J. C. Eady, Plaintiff

vs

Mrs P. Gall, Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief, threatening life etc

Demand $ .....

J. C. Eady, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July	4	Complaint made and sworn to in above entitled case by plaintiff J. C. Eady. Warrant of arrest issued and placed in hands of Constable Nelson for service.
"	3	Suit dismissed.

Jno P. Stevens
Justice of the Peace

No.

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Henry Jackson, Plaintiff
vs
New Coso Mining Co, Defendant

Action For recovery of money

Demand $243 72

Continued from page 47

J L Hunt, Attorney for Plaintiff
J Leady, Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1888 July 14	him to be the agent of the New Coso Mining Co. and from common report believe understand the New Coso Mining Co to be a corporation in San Francisco doing business in this County. Testified that he worked 74 days @ 3.50 pr day for which he had not been paid. Had demanded payment in writing. Checks for previous payments had been signed by Hunt. Michael Leary sworn and examined. Testified that he understood Mr Hunt to act in capacity of Secretary New Coso Co. Did that H. Jackson Plff worked for the New Coso Co. Testified that during Mr Hunts absence he had charge of the property, that he corresponded with him. And that, as far as he knew Jackson worked the number of days he says he did, that Jackson was not paid for all the work he did. That the goods sent to the mine by Mr Hunt were marked (h) New Coso Co. Letter Letter offered in evidence Objected by defense, overruled. The letter was also offered in evidence to prove that Mr J L Hunt was Secretary of the New Coso Mining Co. Testified that Jackson worked 63 days and while he was sick employed one McDonnell to work 11 days in his place for which he Jackson paid him and therefore the whole 74 days were credited to Jackson and Mr Hunt was notified. All ofers were signed Michael Leary in ofc with J L Hunt. J L Hunt sworn. Declined to answer question whether certain papers or notices had been sent to Independence to be published as that papers themselves were best evidence. That he hired Jackson to work himself. That Mr Dale was employed by New Coso Co, but would never have been employed under his (Hunt's) instructions. Mr J L Hunt sworn. Stated that defendant was employed to work for him and not for the New Coso Co. That the property did not belong to the New Coso Co but to him individually.
	Judgment rendered for plaintiff for 243 72 and costs. Defendant gave notice of appeal.
16	On motion of Plaintiff suit dismissed.
	Justice fees. 6.50 paid. Jno. F. Newell

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

John C. Eddy, Plaintiff
v.
Surprise Mining and Milling Co, Defendants

Action for recovery of money

Demand $ 294 75

J.C. Eddy, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feby 9th 1889.	Complaint made by J.C. Eddy Plaintiff setting forth the grounds of the above entitled action. Affidavit filed demanding attachment to be issued Undertaking filed and accepted J.C. Eddy depositing $100 00 in the hands of the Court. Writ of attachment and Summons issued and placed in the hands of John Wilson Constable for Service. Summons served and returned by said Constable personally served on N.G. Fairman "Atty in fact" of said Co. Attachment levied on 66 Flasks of Quicksilver and One Team consisting of Buck Board and two mules taken into possession by Constable. Said Summons & Writ of attachment served on the 4th day of Feb AD 1889 and returned on the 9th day of Feb AD 1889. Now Comes Defendants by Atty in fact N.G. Fairman and prays that this Action be dismissed by mutual agreement between himself and Plaintiff. Defendants paying all Costs in said Cause. "Wherefore" on motion of Defendants Suit was dismissed.

Justices fees $4 50 pd

Constables fees $21 00 pd

Dan Flanagan
Justice of the Peace

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

.... *Plaintiff* *v.* .... *Defendant*	*Action* .... *Demand $* .... *Attorney* ..... *for Plaintiff* ..... *Attorney* ..... *for Defendant*

DATE	PROCEEDINGS

No.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

of the State of California, County of

W. H. Richards
Plaintiff

vs.

Surprise M & M Co By
N. G. Fairman Atty in fact
Defendants

Action for recovery of money

Demand $ 297 75

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feb 9th 1889.	Plaintiff makes complaint setting forth the grounds of action. Undertaking given. Frank Silva and J.C. Eddy as Sureties in the Sum of $200.00 Writ of Attachment and Summons issued and placed in the hands of John Wilson Constable for Service. Service made on the 4th day of February AD 1889 on the person of N. G. Fairman Atty in fact of Said Co. Returned Feb 9th 1889. By Virtue of Writ of attachment Constable levied on 18½ Flasks of Quick Silver in the hands of Said Co. and taken into possession by Constable. Now Comes Defendant by Atty in fact N.G. Fairman and asks that action be dismissed Agreement being made between Pltff and Deft Defendant paying all Costs in Cause. Case Dismissed on motion.
	Justices Fees $4.50 paid
	Constables Fees $21.00 paid
	Dan Flanagan Justice of the Peace

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

.... *Plaintiff* *v.* .... *Defendant*	*Action* for the .... *Demand $* .... *Attorney* ..... *for Plaintiff* ..... *Attorney* ..... *for Defendant*

DATE	PROCEEDINGS

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Chas Anthony, Plaintiff
v.
Geo Woodin, Defendant

Action for the recovery of money

Demand $23 38 and Costs

A. M. Paris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	6	Complaint filed
	"	Summons. " and given to John Wilson for service
	7	" returned served
	6	Undertaking for Attachment filed,
		Attachment issued,
		The Defendant in the above case acknowledges claim and settles the same in full.
		Justices Costs, 3.00
		Geo W. Hardy
		Justice of the Peace.
		Fifth Township.

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

People of the State of California, Plaintiff

v.

John Murray, Defendant

Action Robbery

Demand $ .....

Attorney ..... for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jany 10	Filed Complaint Issued Warrant for the Arrest. Upon examination into the facts and circumstances attendant upon the above case I have decided the grounds for the Complaint were insufficient. Defendant Discharged from custody. Geo. C. Hardy, Justice of the Peace. Fifth Township.

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

John Alexander
Plaintiff

v.

Frank Silva
Defendant

Action for the recovery of money due on Promissory note

Demand $204 26/100

Mack & Laird
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July	18	Complaint filed, and action commenced Summons issued and placed in hands of John Wilson Constable for service.
	21	John Wilson Constable, returns summons, having duly served the same on July 20th 1891.
	27	Filed demand for Entry of Default.
	27	In this action the defendant Frank Silva having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, the default of said defendant, Frank Silva, in the premises, is hereby duly entered according to law. Attest my hand this 27th day of July 1891. Geo H. Ward Justice of the Peace of said Township
	27	Filed Default.
Aug	1	On this day on application of Mack & Laird, attorneys for the said plaintiff, John Alexander, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant, Frank Silva, in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiff's complaint on file herein. Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed, that John Alexander, plaintiff herein, do have and recover of and from the said defendant, Frank Silva, the sum of Two hundred and sixty-eight 87/100 ($268 87/100) dollars United States gold coin with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from the date here of until paid; together with said plaintiff's costs and disbursements incurred in said action, amounting to the sum of $9 25 Judgment rendered August 1st A.D. 1891 Geo H. Ward Justice of the Peace 5th Township. County of Inyo, State of California.

No.

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff

v.

Pat O'Neil.
Defendant

Action For warrant for arrest on account of being of disordered mind

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July	21	On this date appeared before me one James Wood who being duly sworn deposes and says. That he is a resident of Modock, Inyo County California That he is acquainted with the circumstances attending the present condition of one Pat. O'Neil and that he is of disordered mind so far as to endanger health and person and property, and asking that a warrant be issued for his arrest and detention John Bennett being examined under oath, by his evidence substantiates the above. I therefore issued a warrant and placed it in the hands of John Wilson Constable, for the arrest and detention of said Pat O'Neil and commanding that he be taken before the Honorable Superior Judge of Inyo County for examination. Geo. H. Hardy Justice of the Peace 6th Township.
July	27	Warrant returned by J. Wilson Constable. He having on the 23rd day of July, delivered the above named Defendant in the custody of the Sheriff of Inyo Co. Cal. at Independence. Geo. H. Hardy. Justice of the Peace. 5th Township.

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo.

Chas Anthony, Plaintiff
v.
Richard Decker, Defendant

Action for Judgment on book acct for goods wares and merchandise.
Demand $299.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff .....
Attorney for Defendant .....

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Sept	25	Filed complaint in above action and issued summons.
	"	Summons, and delivered to J. F. Cantherry Citizen. for Service.
	28	Summons returned served at Panamint, Inyo Co. Sept 28th.
	29	Filed Defendants Demurrer to Complaint.
Oct.	6.	Defendant appears and moves for a change of venue, and files Affidavit to the effect that he cannot have a fair and impartial trial in the 5th Township.
	"	Affidavit filed. Defendant examined in support of Affidavit.
	"	Motion for change denied.
	"	Defendant makes Special Appearance and asks for time in which to make answer. Supporting his request, by Affidavit, that he requires the services of an Attorney in the case, and that in his opinion ~~that~~ he will be unable to get one to answer before the 16th day of November 1891.
		The request of Defendant as stated above appearing, under circumstances now presented, as reasonable to one. the request is granted, and Defendant allowed till the 16th day of November to answer. Complaint.
		Affidavit of Defendant, in support of request for time to answer filed.
Nov	16	On this date neither party in this suit appearing, therefore without argument, the Demurrer filed was overruled.
		Letter received from P. H. Mack Esq. Attorney for Defendant stating that it will be impossible for him to be here before next month. It is therefore ordered that the time for answering is extended 30 days from this date. (November 16th 91,)
Dec	16	Filed Defendants Motion to Dismiss Action.
		Motion Denied
	"	Filed demand for Bill of Items
	29	Attorney for Defendant moved the Court, that the Defendant be allowed and granted up to and including Jany 30th 1892, to answer Plaintiffs Complaint.
		Plaintiff also appeared and consented thereto.
		Court Granted the Motion.

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

.... *Plaintiff* *v.* .... *Defendant*	*Action* .... *Demand $* .... *Attorney* ..... *for Plaintiff* ..... *Attorney* ..... *for Defendant*

DATE		PROCEEDINGS

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Plaintiff

v.

Defendant

Action Inquest upon body of Thos Edmunds.

Demand $ Geo H. Henry J.P. 5th Tp. acting as Coroner

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
January 1892 5	Notified of the death of Thos. Edmunds at the Minnietta Mine near Modock Cala.
6	Summoned the following named persons to serve as Jurymen Wm. H. Smith, J. F. Cattery, Frank Silva, Frank Fitzgerald, M. J. O'Brien Joseph Stoffel, Ben F. Williams Richard Richards Wm H Richards John Ferris and Thos. McIntyre. From whom were chosen the following named persons.

Frank Fitzgerald	Joseph Stoffel.
Frank Silva	Wm H. Richards.
Ben. F. Williams	Richards Richards.

The following named persons were summoned as witnesses, Arthur Smith, James Cunningham and John Woods,

Upon hearing the evidence, the Jury returned the following verdict.

Modock January 6th 1892.

We the undersigned jurors impannelled to inquire into the causes leading to the death of Thos Edwards do find. That his death was caused by spasms or fits arising from some cause or disease to the jury unknown. and that we account for the bruises and wounds found upon him, being received by falling while in spasms against the rocks forming his sleeping-place

Frank Fitzgerald
Richard Richards
Ben F. Williams
W. H. Richards
Joseph Stoffel.
Frank Silva.

No.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Frank Fitzgerald, Plaintiff
v.
Joseph Stoffel, Defendant

Action For the recovery of amount due on account.
Demand $299.00 with Costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 February	26	Filed complaint and issued summons.
	"	" Summons and delivered to Ben. F. Williams Special Constable for service,
	"	Filed Affidavit for Attachment.
	"	" Issued Writ of Attachment
	"	Filed Filed Undertaking on Attachment.
		" Writ of Attachment and delivered the same to Ben F. Williams Special Constable for service.
Mar	9	Jos. Stoffel the defendant in the above entitled action appears and demurs to the complaint on the ground that it does not state sufficient ~~grounds~~ grounds of action.
		Demurrer Filed, and defendant granted ten days in which to answer. Demurrer being overruled.
	12	Summons and Writ of Attachment returned, Having been ~~re~~ served on the 8th day of March. at Minnietta
		By virtue of the Writ of Attachment the constable levied upon ~~and attached~~ 412.00/100 dollars cash in the hands of J. J. Gunn belonging to the defendant. attaching so much thereof as necessary to satisfy Plaintiffs claim and costs and accruing costs.
March	16.	Now comes defendant Joseph Stoffel and makes answer orally to the complaint of Plaintiff, as follows:
		1st He denies that he is indebted to the said Plaintiff in the sum mentioned in said complaint and as shown by the bill accompanying it.
		2nd That he is charged in said bill, so far as is shown by the items given, about the sum of 14.00/100 dollars, for liquor and that he refuses to pay for such liquor, more than the law allows to be collected, on such accounts.
		3rd That in regard to any balance that may remain against him, on Plaintiffs books, he pleads as New Matter a counter claim against said Plaintiff in the sum of One hundred and ninety two.00/100 dollars due him for forty-

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

..... Plaintiff	Action .....
v.	Demand $ .....
..... Defendant	..... Attorney for Plaintiff ..... Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
		eight days work at Modock at the rate of 4.00 Dollars per day.
March	16	Defendant asks that Simon Campbell be subpoenied as witness
		Trial of above action fixed for Saturday March 19th at 3 P.M.
		Issued summons for Simon Campbell, and delivered to Frank Lewis for service.
		Summons returned, served on March 16th
	19	A. J. Gould, appeared as Attorney for Defendant.
		Plaintiffs attention called to the oral answer made by Defendant.
		Both parties to the above entitled action agreeing thereto the trial of the cause was put off till Tuesday March 22nd at 2-30 P.M.
	22	Now comes the plaintiff in the above entitled action, and moves the court for judgment in said action in favor of plaintiff for the sum of 295.00 Dollars and costs of suit, upon the following grounds. That the answer interposed and made by the defendant in this action admits his indebtedness to plaintiff in the sum of 299.00 Dollars and costs of suit, less the amount of 4.00 dollars which said amount of 4.00 dollars is alleged by defendant as not due to plaintiff
		That plaintiff moves the court to strike out the allegation in defendants answer marked 3rd on the following grounds. That the said allegation marked 3rd, fails to state either a cause of action, or a counter-claim, against the plaintiff in this action.
		Motion denied.
		Exception taken by plaintiff to the ruling.
		Frank. Fitzgerald sworn to answer questions.
		Books of plaintiff offered in evidence.
		Motion by defendant for dismissal of case on account of informality of pleadings
		Motion denied.
		Motion by defendant, that the ~~case~~ attachment be dismissed on the ground that the defendant has not been served with a copy of the attachment.
		Motion denied.
		Defendant excepts.

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

..... Plaintiff	Action .....
v.	Demand $ .....
..... Defendant	..... Attorney ..... for Plaintiff .....
	..... Attorney ..... for Defendant .....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
	After a due trial of the above case, Both Plaintiff and Defendant being allowed and given a full hearing. I have granted judgment as follows,
	It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that Frank Filzgerald, plaintiff herein, do have and recover of and from the said defendant Joseph Stoffel the sum of Two hundred and ninety five 00/100 dollars, Gold Coin together with costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of.
March 28	Judgment Satisfied
	Costs
	Constables Fees 15.40
	Justice " 8.25
	23.65
	Geo. H. Hardy J. P.
	Fifth Tp.

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Chas Anthony — Plaintiff

v.

Richards Richards — Defendant

Action .....

Demand $280 62/100 & Costs.

Chas Anthony — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	25	Filed Complaint and issued Summons.
		" Summons and delivered to Geo. H. Lewis Constable for Service
		" Affidavit for Attachment.
		" Undertaking on attachment.
		Issued Writ of Attachment.
		Filed Writ of Attachment and delivered to Geo. H. Lewis Constable for service
	26	Summons returned, served this date.
		Attachment " served on Frank Fitzgerald, he having in his possession 78 35/100 $ cash, belonging to the Defendant.
April	5	Filed Demand for Entry of Default.
		Now at this day, on application of the said plaintiff, Chas. Anthony, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant, Richard Richards, in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiffs complaint on file herein.
		Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered adjudged, and decreed, that Chas. Anthony, plaintiff herein, do have and recover of and from the said defendant, Richard Richards the sum of two hundred and eighty 62/100 ($280 62/100) dollars together with said plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of $13 10 dollars.
		Judgment rendered April 5th 1892
		Geo. H. Hardy Justice of the Peace.
	12	Issued Execution against money in hands of Frank Fitzgerald.
		Filed execution and delivered to Ben F. Williams Special Constable for service.
	16	Execution returned not served.
	20	Delivered Execution to Hale Hamilton for service.
	"	This date received on the above judgment the sum of seventy eight 35/100 ($78 35) dollars, less cost of service of execution and paying over money, 7 85/100
		Leaving a balance due on the above judgment of

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

Lehas Anthony — *Plaintiff*

v.

Richard Richards — *Defendant*

Action .....

Demand $ .....

Attorney ..... *for Plaintiff*

Attorney ..... *for Defendant*

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
	Two hundred and twenty three 22/100 (223 22/100) dollars,
	Geo H Hardy Justice of the Peace

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

John Alexander, Plaintiff
v.
F. S. Wallace, Defendant

Action .....

Demand $190 95/100 & Costs of Suit

Mack & Laird
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	5	Filed Complaint and issued Summons.
		Issued Summons
		Filed Summons
	12	Delivered Summons to Ben T. Williams, Special Constable, for Service.
	16	Summons returned not served.
	20	Delivered Summons to H. L. Hamilton, citizen over the age of 18 years for service.
Constable	4 20/24	Summons returned. Served this date on Defendant at Modock Inyo Co. Cal.
May	3	Defendant having failed to appear in the above case after having been regularly served with process and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, the default of said defendant F. S. Wallace in the premises, is hereby duly entered according to law.
June	27	On this day, on application of Mack & Laird, attorneys for said plaintiff, F. S. Wallace, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant, F. S. Wallace in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiffs complaint on file herein. Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that John Alexander, plaintiff herein, do have and recover of and from the said defendant F. S. Wallace the sum of One hundred and ninety 95/100 dollars. United States Gold Coin, with interest thereon at the rate of seven (7%) percent, per annum from the date hereof until paid; together with said plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of 8 20/100 $. Judgment rendered June 27th A.D. 1892. Geo. H. Heard Justice of the Peace Fifth Township

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Mat. McDonald, Plaintiff
v.
Phillip Mitchel, Defendant

Action for the recovery of money.

Demand $17.00 & costs.

A. J. Gould, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 27.	Filed Complaint in above action and issued Summons
"	Delivered Summons to Geo. Lewis Constable for Service
"	Filed affidavit for warrant of arrest
"	Issued warrant upon receiving Cash security of 100.00 dollars.
July 8	Summons and warrant returned, Summons served on July 29th
11	In this action the Defendant, Phillip Mitchel, having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering, having expired, the default of said defendant, Phillip Mitchel, is, at the request of the Plaintiffs attorney hereby entered according to law.
July 12	On this day on application of A. J. Gould attorney for Plaintiff Mat. McDonald, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant Phillip Mitchel in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs complaint, on file herein. Whereas, by reason of the law and premises aforesaid, it is ordered adjudged and decreed, that ~~Phillip Mitchel~~ Mat McDonald Plaintiff herein, do have and recover of and from the said defendant Phillip Mitchel, the sum of Seventeen 00/100 dollars Gold Coin with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from the date hereof till paid, together with said Plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of, 13 20/100 dollars.

Amt 17.00
Costs 13.20 } $30.20

Geo. H. Hardy
Justice of the Peace

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

John Alexander, Plaintiff
v.
Francisco Olivas, Defendant

Action for the recovery of money.

Demand $175 75/100 & Costs

Mack & Laird
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	5	Filed Complaint in above action and issued Summons.
	" 6	Delivered Summons to John Wilson Constable for Service
	9	Summons returned, served at Lone Pine on the 8th day of April 1892. by John Wilson Constable.
May	3	In this action the defendant, Francisco Olivas, having been regularly served with Process, and having failed to appear and the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, the default of said defendant Francisco Olivas, in the premises, is hereby duly entered according to law.
June	27	On this day, on application of Mack & Laird, attorneys for said Plaintiff, John Alexander, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant Francisco Olivas in accordance with the Prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein. Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that John Alexander, Plaintiff herein do have and recover from the said defendant Francisco Olivas, the sum of One hundred and seventy five 75/100 dollars. United States Gold Coin, with interest thereon at the rate of seven (7%) per cent. per annum from the date here of till paid; together with said Plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action, amounting to the sum of $15 40
300 / 12 40		

Judgment rendered this 27th day of June A.D. 1892.

Geo. H. Hard,
Justice of the Peace
Fifth Township Inyo Co.

No.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Dayer

Mat. McDonald, Plaintiff

v.

P. Learal, Defendant

Action for the recovery of money.

Demand $41.00 & Costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 27	Filed Complaint in above case & Issued summons.
	" Summons and delivered to Rev. Le Lewis for Service
	" Affidavit for Warrant of arrest.
	" Warrant and delivered to Revd. Lewis for service.
	Warrant issued upon receiving 100 cash security.
July 8	Summons and Warrant returned
	Summons served on defendant June 29th 1892.
11	In this action the defendent P. Learal having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the complaint of Plaintiff on file herein, and the time allowed for answering having expired, the default of said defendant P. Learal, is at the request of Plaintiffs Attorney hereby entered according to law.
12	On this day on application of A. J. Gould Attorney for Plaintiff Mat McDonald, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein, against the said defendant P. Learal in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs complaint on file herein.

Whereas, by reason of the law and premises aforesaid it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed, that Mat McDonald Plaintiff herein, do have and recover of and from the said defendant P. Learal the sum of Forty one 00/100 dollars. Gold coin with interest at the rate of seven percent per annum from the date hereof till paid, together with said Plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of 13 20/100 dollars.

Amount $41.00
Costs 13.20 } $54.20

Geo H Hardy
Justice of the Peace
Fifth Township

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

John Alexander, Plaintiff

v.

Thos. Ellis, Defendant

Action for the recovery of money,

Demand $185.26 & Costs.

Mack & Laird, Attorneys for Plaintiff

M., Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	5	Filed Complaint in above action and issued Summons
	"	" Summons and delivered to H. B. Boland.
	9	Summons returned unserved.

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

of the State of California, County of .....

.... *Plaintiff* *v.* .... *Defendant*	*Action* .... *Demand $* .... *Attorney* ..... *for Plaintiff* ..... *Attorney* ..... *for Defendant*

DATE	PROCEEDINGS

CAVPP
cini_000141